UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,870	02/09/2004	Brant L. Candelore	SNY-T5780.01	8804
	7590 10/10/200 ENT SERVICES	EXAMINER		
2500 DOCKER RALEIGH, NC	Y LANE	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
KALEIGII, NC	27000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		1	Application No. Applicant(s)					
			10/774,870		CANDELORE ET AL.			
		E	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Aravind K. Mod		2431			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PERIOD FOR TH	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a v will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS (a). In no event, ho apply and will expi ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 9/2/08						
'=	, ,	2b)⊠ This ad	ction is non-f	nal				
<i>'</i> —		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
		annlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		iic williarawii	THOM CONSIG	Station.				
·) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4:	14:	4				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requi	ement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u><i>09 February</i></u>	<u>2004</u> is/are:	a) accepte	ed or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Exami	iner.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attachment</u> .	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal P ☐ Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2431

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 2 September 2008.

2. Claims 1-22 are pending in the application.

3. Claims 1-22 have been rejected.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

4. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 30 July 2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: repeated word. The word "display" is used consecutively. The repeated "display" needs to be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2431

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Safadi et al US 2003/0126608 A1.

As to independent claim 1, Safadi et al discloses a method of manipulating a stream of video data in a point of deployment module device, comprising:

at the point of deployment module device [0013]:

receiving a stream of video data from a host television receiver device, the stream of video data being received by the host television receiver device from a multimedia broadcaster and being encoded according to a first coding [0017];

transcoding the stream of video data associated with the host television receiver device to convert the stream of video data to a second coding, producing a transcoded data stream [0032]; and

sending the transcoded data stream back to the host television receiver device [0032].

As to claims 2 and 13, Safadi et al discloses that the stream of video data includes encrypted data [0041].

As to claims 3 and 14, Safadi et al discloses decrypting the encrypted data [0029].

As to claims 4 and 15, Safadi et al discloses encrypting the transcoded data stream [0041].

Page 4

As to claims 5, 9, 16 and 20, Safadi et al discloses that the second coding comprises MPEG compliant coding [0015].

As to claims 6, 10, 17 and 21, Safadi et al discloses that the point of deployment module comprises a point of deployment module compliant with an OpenCableTM standard format [0013].

As to claims 7, 11, 18 and 22, Safadi et al discloses that the second coding comprises MPEG 2 compliant coding [0032]. Safadi et al discloses that the first coding comprises one of MPEG 4 compliant coding, MPEG 7 compliant coding, Wavelet compression coding, and AVC coding [0015].

As to independent claim 8, Safadi et al discloses a method of manipulating a stream of video data in a point of deployment module device, comprising:

at the point of deployment module device [0013]:

receiving a stream of video data from a host television receiver device, the stream of video data being received by the host television receiver device from a multimedia broadcaster and being encrypted and encoded according to a first coding [0041];

decrypting the encrypted data [0029];

transcoding the stream of video data associated with the host television receiver device to convert the stream of video data to a second coding, producing a transcoded data stream [0032];

encrypting the transcoded data stream [0041]; and

sending the encrypted transcoded data stream back to the host television receiver device [0032].

As to independent claim 12, Safadi et al discloses a point of deployment module device for manipulation of a stream of data, comprising:

means forming a part of the point of deployment module device for receiving a stream of video data from a host television receiver device, the stream of video data being received by the host television receiver device from a multimedia broadcaster and being encoded according to a first coding [0017];

a transcoder forming a part of the point of deployment module device that transcodes the stream of video data to convert the stream of video data to a second coding, producing a transcoded data stream [0032]; and

means forming a part of the point of deployment module device for sending the transcoded data stream back to the host television receiver device [0032].

As to independent claim 19, Safadi et al discloses a point of deployment module device for manipulation of a stream of data, comprising:

means forming a part of the point of deployment module device for receiving a stream of video data from a host television receiver device [0017], the stream of video data being received by the host television receiver device from a multimedia broadcaster and being encrypted and encoded according to a first coding [0041];

Art Unit: 2431

a decrypter forming a part of the point of deployment module device that decrypts the encrypted data [0029];

a transcoder forming a part of the point of deployment module device that transcodes the stream of video data associated with the host television receiver device to convert the stream of video data to a second coding, producing a transcoded data stream [0032];

an encrypter forming a part of the point of deployment module device that encrypts the transcoded data stream [0041]; and

means forming a part of the point of deployment module device for sending the encrypted transcoded data stream back to the host television receiver device [0032].

Art Unit: 2431

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aravind K Moorthy/

Examiner, Art Unit 2431

/Christopher A. Revak/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431